d i g i t a l

5 · · · · ·

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Gwen Bell, Director Digital Computer Museum MR2-A89

DATE: 24 Oct. 1980 FROM: Jim Rogers **JR** DEPT: Comm'1 Eng Pubs DTN: 264-4437 L/MS: MK1-2HØ3

CC: Gordon Bell, Keeper Digital Computer Museum ML12-1/A51

SUBJECT: Comments on COMPUTER GENEALOGY Chart

I have had a chance to examine the Computer Genealogy Chart draft that you gave me last week, and I am forwarding the following comments to assist you in revising it.

1. What are the four numbered horizontal rows intended to convey? I can see that the dates in the white stripes between adjacent rows serve as a time axis. My difficulty arises when I try to apply the descriptor for each row (Manual, Mechanical, Electromechanical, and Electronic) to the devices included in that row. For example, the five relay machines (Zuse I up thru Harvard MKI) in the #1 row are not Electronic; the Tables or Simple Calculators in row #2 are not Electromechanical; and the Simple Calculators or Machine-readable Information devices in row #4 are not Manual. Apparently, the descriptors can't mean that all the items in that row are in that category: but what, then, do they mean?

2. The same problem shows up in the vertical column labels: Babbage's Analytic Engine is not an Electro-mechanical device, although all the other items in that column are.

3. The Simple Calculators tree needs some attention. The Leibniz machine is shown stemming from Shickhard's, yet there is (a) ample evidence that he based his mechanism on Pascal's adder, and (b) no evidence that Leibniz knew that Shickard's machine existed . Since Pascal was also unaware of Shickard's invention, maybe it would be better to separate the Shickard box from the direct line (by a dot or dots), and show Leibniz stemming directly from Pascal. Genealogy Chart 10/24/80

Continuing up from Leibniz, there are, according to Chase's article in the current <u>Annals</u>, five types of rotary calculators:

- (b) monophase cycle: Marchant.

۵

- (c) polyphase, reversible cycle: Baldwin, Odhner, Monroe (note that the Baldwin and Odhner machines were not called "Arithmometers").
- (d) key-driven: Comptometer (+ some accounting machines?).
- (e) partial-product: Verea, Bollée, Steiger (Millionaire), Moon-Hopkins, Hamann (Mercedes).

This state of affairs suggests that the present chart layout has to change: either expand the Rotary Calculator section so that it includes all of the types, or abandon the attempt to display different lines, and instead show a selected subset of the items on a single line stemming from Leibniz. Neither of these alternatives is very attractive: the first might require a disproportionate amount of space on the chart, while the second might force you to omit many important inventions (due to lack of vertical space on one line).

I think that, on balance, the first alternative is preferable, and that it should at least be tried before you choose the second. Let's look at a draft layout of the 5 lines. If you eliminate one of the present (four) lines by combining the Pascal and Leibniz stems (as suggested above), then you would have to add a net of only one vertical line to display a total of 5 type-lines, and that may not be so bad.

4. Babbage -- where do Babbage's devices belong? They appear on the chart as off-shoots of tape and card-reading devices. While it is true that Babbage modelled the data and program input for the Analytic Engine on Jacquard's punched card design, the Difference Engine did not use cards at all; neither did Schuetz's device. Even with regard to the Analytic Engine, the rest of the design is much closer to Pascal's adder than Jacquard's Loom. How one shows these multiple dependencies, I don't know; the same problem arises with ENIAC, which, according to Goldstine, was modelled as an electronic substitute for Bush's Differential Analyzer. Maybe dotted lines would show these relationships. In any case, (a) there is a strong argument against showing your Complex Function Calculators as stemming from Jacquard; and (b) the Analytic Engine fits as a Calculator offshoot as well as it does as a Machine-readable Information device. Genealogy Chart 10/24/80

5. Miscellaneous items:

(a) best estimated date for the Abacus is 1500 b.c.; in any case, it should appear prior to, rather than after, Ktesibios.

(b) for Ktesibios, add the word "Regulator" after "Water Clock"; water clocks had been in use for years before Ktesibios, and his contribution was to add the water feed regulator.

(c) add a word to the Anshutz "Gyroscope" to distinguish it from the earlier (1852) Foucault invention.

(d) if you use boldface type for the name of the device, then the box labelled "Rechnitzer" needs to be changed--Rechnitzer was the inventor, and the device was the Autarith; also, add the inventor's name (Felt) to the Comptometer box.

(e) in the column labelled "Macine-readable Information", add a date and/or inventor for the Flexowriter; you can fill up some of the empty space in between 1895 and the Flexowriter by adding the Punched Card Collator, dated about 1934; it holds an interesting place in history because IBM designed and produced it for one customer-- the Social Security Administration.

(f) under Computers, why refer to Atanasoff-Berry "reports", when you can refer to the machine itself? Also, Berry didn't join Atanasoff until 1939, so the "1935-42" date is early by a few years. Presumably, you are including the 1946 Von Neumann EDVAC Report because it contains the first design for a stored-program machine. If so, why not specify that fact by adding a phrase like "stored-program concept" to the information in the box?

(g) a few typos: one "t" in Gutenberg; Steiger, not Stegner; the copyright symbol should appear between the word Copyright and the date; "Disks" should be in boldface.

I think that the Computer Genealogy Chart is a very useful tool, and I would be pleased to work with you in tying up the loose ends.

by A.W.Wilson

ð

1 Purpose of Visit

(a) to study methods of interpretation and educational facilities in museums that have historical exhibits
 (b) to obtain experience of Science Centres and Science Centre-type museums

2 Places visited

Washington: National Museum of History & Technology National Air & Space Museum National Museum of Natural History Arts & Industries Building	NMHT NASM NMNH AIB
Philadelphia: Franklin Institute Science Museum & Planetarium	FISM
Boston: Boston Museum of Science & Hayden Planetarium	BMOS
Toronto: Royal Ontario Museum Ontario Science Centre	ROM OSC
Chicago: Museum of Science & Industry	ChMSI
San Francisco: California Academy of Sciences & Morrison Planetarium Lawrence Hall of Science Exploratorium	CAS LHS EXP
Los Angeles: California Museum of Science & Industry Los Angeles County Natural History Museum Griffith Observatory & Planetarium	CaMSI LANMH GOP

3 Report

I expected that the trip would be interesting, though arduous. In the event it proved so stimulating and exciting that it did not seem arduous at all. The main advantage in visiting so many places in so short a

geographical location

degree of visitor-involvement - the extent to which the displays themselves try to 'meet the visitor halfway'.

It certainly seemed that those museums which treated their visitors with the greatest respect and trust were in turn respected and responsibly used by visitors. There may however be significant differences between the way the British 'general public' and the North American 'general public' behave under similar conditions.

I intend to discuss these findings, particularly those in (b) above, with my colleagues in Education Service over the coming months, with a view to formulating policy for future developments in the Service.

뿂 뾽

APPENDIX 1 - Activities in Museums (apart from studying the exhibits), and Research Papers collected:

and a second	discussions	attended event for:	papers collected
	with staff	1 public 2 schools	(details below)
NMHT NASM FISM BMOS ROM OSC ChMSI LHS EXP CaMSI	⁶ 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Papers:

1 'The pause that refreshes - a study of visitor reactions to Discovery Corners . . .' R L Wolf, M E Munley, B L Tymitz (Smithsonian publication, 1979, 61pp)

2 'Measuring the Immeasurable - a pilot study of Museum Effectiveness' M Borun (Association of Science/Technology Centers, 1977, 114pp)

3 'To label or not to label?' M Borun. M Miller ('Museum News', March/April 1980, 4pp)

4 'See-through women replace yellow-bellied sapsuckers' D Davies ('Nature', September 6 1974, 1p)

5 'Hands On - setting up a Discovery Room in your Museum or School' (Royal Ontario Museum publication, 1979, 170pp)

'Summative Evaluation of a Participatory Science Exhibit' C I Sneider, L P Eason, A J Friedman ('Science Education', 63, 1, 1979, 12pp)

'Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Participatory Exhibits' L P Eason, M C Linn ('Curator', 19, 1, 1976, 17pp) 8 'A Rationale for a Science Museum', F Oppenheimer

('Curator', November 1968, 4pp)

List of Photographs

1 - 37 WASHINGTON

1 The Hall - general view 2 - 6 NMHT Foucault pendulum exhibit 7 NMHT signpost 8 - 18 NMHT Electricity galleries 10 NMHT Discovery Corner 19 NMHT Reconstructed Chemist's shop 20 - 22 NMSH 'Nation of Nations' exhibition 23 NASM Wallplan 24 - 25 NASM Airports exhibit (cartoon-style) 26 - 29 NASM Early Flight gallery 30 NASM Puppet show exhibit 31 - 33 NASM Exploring the Planets gallery 34 NASM entrance hall general view 35 NASM Saturn V nozzles exhibit (mirrors) 36 - 37 AIB general views

38 - 59 PHILADELPHIA

38 - 39 FISM external views
40 & 42 FISM Foucault pendulum exhibit
41 FISM signpost
43 FISM wallplan
44 FISM public demo: 'lightning'
45 - 52 FISM 'It's about time' exhibition (nos.
48 - 52 very dark exposures)
53 FISM energy roller-coaster
54 - 56 FISM giant heart exhibit
57 - 59 FISM interactive exhibits undergoing trials
60 - 77 BOSTON

60 BMOS external view
61 - 62 BMOS Discovery Room (see also 75)
63 BMOS public demo: 'snake'
64 BMOS wallplan
65 BMOS wind turbine exhibit
66 - 71 BMOS energy exhibit
72 BMOS transverse waves exhibit
73 BMOS hot air balloon sponsored exhibit
74 BMOS Foucault pendulum exhibit
75 BMOS 'more people need more food' exhibit
76 BMOS Discovery Room external notice
77 Boston: view of river from hotel

78 - 103 TORONTO

78 - 79 Toronto aerial views 80 - 82 ROM Discovery Room 83 ROM Touch wall 84 OSC Entrance hall 85 OSC classroom 86 OSC 'today's events' 87 OSC Energy House 88 OSC signpost 89 OSC self-test exhibit 90 OSC Laser demo stand 91 - 96 OSC 'Molecule' area 92 OSC advanced chemistry lab 93 OSC watching public demo 'flames' 97 OSC wallplan 98 OSC Foucault pendulum exhibit 99 OSC public demo 'flames' 100 OSC 'Physics Lab' (Discovery Room) 101 - 102 OSC Science Arcade 103 OSC entrance plaque

104 - 121 CHICAGO

104 ChMSI external view 105 ChMSI Foucault pendulum exhibit 106 - 109 ChMSI Energy exhibition 110 - 111 ChMSI 'Wheels a'rolling' exhibition 112 ChMSI Artscience exhibition (v dark exposure) 113 ChMSI awful Agriculture exhibit 114 ChMSI Hall of Communications entrance 115 ChMSI Hall of Communications entrance 115 ChMSI Hall of Communication exhibit 116 ChMSI Industrial Revolution exhibit 117 ChMSI Industrial Revolution exhibit 118 - 119 ChMSI testing preschool exhibits (119 v v dark exposure) 120 ChMSI wallplan 121 ChMSSC in the coal mine

122 - 145 SAN FRANCISCO

- 122 LHS exterior view 123 - 124 LHS classroom 125 - 126 LHS optics exhibits (see ref. 7 in Appendix 1)
- 127 132 LHS energy exhibits
- 133 Golden Gate bridge from near Exploratorium

134 EXP exterior view 135 - 136 EXP interior views (see also 144) 137 EXP 'blower and ball' exhibit 138 EXP Explainer briefs school group 139 EXP relative motion pendulum exhibit 140 EXP notice outside entrance 141 -142 EXP 'Everyone is you and me' exhibit 143 EXP sunlight prism colours exhibit 144 EXP interior view 145 EXP 'Test your awareness' exhibit 146 - 154 LOS ANGELES 146 CaMSI exterior view 147 - 150 CaMSI Mathematica exhibit 151 CaMSI electricity exhibit: introductory label 152 CaMSI energy roller-coaster 153 - 154 GOP Voyager photos

155 - 161 LONDON - Science Museum (comparison slides)

- 155 wallplan
- 156 signpost
- 157 158 Foucault pendulum exhibit
- 159, plan at Main Entrance.
- Silicon' exhibit in Chip exhibition
 161 electromagnet exhibit in Children's Gallery
 Sabel on ong lathe

Jynn, FYI, Jee

9/18/87

Cary Eichhorn Ron Smart



Digital Equipment Corporation Historical Collection

Audiences:

- Employees
- Customers
- Colleagues
- Students

Objectives:

- Capture the "totality" of Digital.
- Establish place in computing history.
- Document achievements.
- Foster corporate pride.
- Educate.

Goals:

- Everyone involved.
- Framework/Guidance.
- Easy to implement and maintain.

Architecture: A "Distributed Collection"



Collection Theme:

"The original idea that created Digital Equipment Corporation in 1957 was to make computers that were affordable, approachable, versatile and easy-to-use by virtually anyone."

Graphic Concept for Central Collection:

- A "chronological mural" depicting product evolution over time.
- Floor displays highlighting key products.



LAD DEMO ROOM



Implementation Plan:

- Review direction with Ken Olsen.
- Get commitment from facility/site managers on implementation.
- Form an Historical Collection Advisory Board.
- Develop appropriate relationships with Computer Museum.

EXAMPLE OF

.

THE CENTRAL COLLECTION

****	··-~ ***********************************	***************************************	******
Floor Display	Theme Statement	Highlight Products to be Displayed	Graphics/Photos
THE BEGINNING	"The original idea that created Digital Equipment Corporation in 1957 was to make computers that were affordable, approachable, versatile and easy to use by virtually anyone."	o Original or recreated silk circuit etchings and dime store fishtanks	o Photos of founders o Photos of key influences, contributors, mentors, etc. o Early photos of The Mill
COMPONENTS	"All the complexity we gave up by making computers simple, we put into making them fast."	o Logic Modules o J-ll o Flip Chips o MPS o FALCON o VAX Chip	o Photos of process technology "Clean Room" in old days vs. today
PROCESSORS	"Digital never sold mystique, just functional tools to get the job done, tools that could be brought TO the product."	o PDP-1 o PDP-11 o DECsystem o PDP-5 o PDP-14 o DECstation o PDP-6 o PDP-15 o DEC-LSI o PDP-8 o VAX-11/780	o Early photos of computer assembly o Photos of products in key applications
STORAGE SYSTEMS	TO BE DETERMINED	o DECdisc o TU-16 o DECtape o RJ 503/04 o TU-10 o PROM-8M o RK-15 o TU-70 o RK-05 o RX 01	<pre>o Photographs of manufacturing techniques o Display showing contrast of early/current technology (results of miniaturization) ,</pre>
SOFTWARE	TO BE DETERMINED	TECHNOLOGY PRODUCTS o Paper Tape o MUMP's o CAPS-8 o Disk-based o IDACS-11 o RTS-11 o DOS o PHA-11 o RSX-11D o RT-11 o DELCOMM o 1 AS o VAX VM's o LAB-11 o PDP-11 COBAL	o Display contrasting early paper tape to current technology

EXAMPLE OF

THE CENTRAL COLLECTION

(Continued)

******	_ 	***************************************	*****
loor Display	Theme Statement	Highlight Products to be Displayed	Graphics/Photos
PPLICATIONS & JRNKEY SYSTEMS	"We believe that computers belong on the factory floor, and in the laboratory and the classroom. They belong in the office and the printing plant, in the power station, the hospital, and the railroad yard.	 o LINC o One or more representative computer packs o MINC o EDOSYSTEM o Graphic 76 o DECEDIT o TYPESET 8/10 	o A series of photographs showing the diversity of applications of Digital systems, significant customers and historic events (space launch)
ERMINALS & RINTERS	"Computers should be designed to allow people to interact with them, to hold a dialogue with the computer even as the events it controls are happening.	<pre>o LA-3Ø o VT-5Ø o RA Series o LA-35 o VT-52 o RA 8Ø Winchester o LA-36 o VT-55 o Optical o LA-18Ø o VT-155 o Storage Array o VT-05 o GT 4Ø o VT-14 o 4KPi</pre>	o Photo of VT-100's coming off the manufacturing line (1 made per minute) o Engineer's sketch of first ergonomically designed terminals
ETWORKS	"It was clear to us from the start that people needed to share information and workload among computers, people, and organization. The computer, as defined by Digital, became a communications device and introduced the idea of distributed processing."	o DECNET o ETHERNET	o Graphic diagram of networking capability o Display contrasting fiber and copper cable
DUCATION	"Tools for working and learning: That is the idea of Digital."	o Logic Handbook o Small Computer Handbook o Logic Laboratory o CLASSIC	o DECCUS users group meeting o Classroom scenes

EXAMPLE OF

.

THE CENTRAL COLLECTION

halada da anna anna anna anna			
**************************************	**************************************	**************************************	Graphics/Photos
THE BEGINNING	"The original idea that created Digital Equipment Corporation in 1957 was to make computers that were affordable, approachable, versatile and easy to use by virtually anyone."	o Original or recreated silk circuit etchings and dime store fishtanks	o Photos of founders o Photos of key influences, contributors, mentors, etc. o Early photos of The Mill
COMPONENTS	"All the complexity we gave up by making 'computers simple, we put into making them fast."	o Logic Modules o J-ll o Flip Chips o MPS o FALCON o VAX Chip	o Photos of process technology "Clean Room" in old days vs. today
PROCESSORS	"Digital never sold mystique, just functional tools to get the job done, tools that could be brought TO the product."	o PDP-1 o PDP-11 o DECsystem o PDP-5 o PDP-14 o DECstation o PDP-6 o PDP-15 o DEC-LSI o PDP-8 o VAX-11/780	o Early photos of computer assembly o Photos of products in key applications
STORAGE SYSTEMS	TO BE DETERMINED	o DECdisc o TU-16 o DECtape o RJ 503/04 o TU-10 o PROM-8M o RK-15 o TU-70 o RK-05 o RX 01	<pre>o Photographs of manufacturing techniques o Display showing contrast of early/current technology (results of miniaturization) ,</pre>
SOFTWARE	TO BE DETERMINED	TECHNOLOGY PRODUCTS o Paper Tape o MUMP's o CAPS-8 o Disk-based o IDACS-11 o RTS-11 o DOS o PHA-11 o RSX-11D o RT-11 o DELCOMM o 1 AS o VAX VM's o LAB-11 o PDP-11 COBAL	o Display contrasting early paper tape to current technology

EXAMPLE OF THE CENTRAL COLLECTION

\$

(Continued)

.oor Display Theme Statement Highlight Products to be Displayed Graphics/Photos			
	· · · ·	**************************************	**************************************
PPLICATIONS & JRNKEY SYSTEMS	"We believe that computers belong on the factory floor, and in the laboratory and the classroom. They belong in the office and the printing plant, in the power station, the hospital, and the railroad yard.	 LINC One or more representative computer packs MINC EDOSYSTEM Graphic 76 DECEDIT TYPESET 8/10 	o A series of photographs showing the diversity of applications of Digital systems, significant customers and historic events (space launch)
ERMINALS & RINTERS	"Computers should be designed to allow people to interact with them, to hold a dialogue with the computer even as the events it controls are happening.	o LA-30 o VT-50 o RA Series o LA-35 o VT-52 o RA 80 Winchester o LA-36 o VT-55 o Optical o LA-180 o VT-155 o Storage Array o VT-05 o GT 40 o VT-14 o 4KPi	o Photo of VT-100's coming off the manufacturing line (1 made per minute) o Engineer's sketch of first ergonomically designed terminals
ETWORKS	"It was clear to us from the start that people needed to share information and workload among computers, people, and organization. The computer, as defined by Digital, became a communications device and introduced the idea of distributed processing."	• DECNET • ETHERNET	o Graphic diagram of networking capability o Display contrasting fiber and copper cable
DUCATION .	"Tools for working and learning: That is the idea of Digital."	o Logic Handbook o Small Computer Handbook o Logic Laboratory o CLASSIC	o DECCUS users group meeting o Classroom scenes